# Usability Studies

Michael Coblenz

# Today

- Think-aloud usability studies: a way to gather ground truth about challenges people face when using your software
- Additional resource: https://www.nngroup.com/articles/usabilitytesting-101/

# ATOUR OF QUALITATIVE METHODS

- Data sources
  - Interviews and focus groups
  - · Usability studies
  - Surveys
  - Contextual inquiry
  - Corpus studies

- Analytic approaches
  - Thematic analysis
  - (others)

# INTERVIEWS AND FOCUS GROUPS

- Method: make a list of questions. Ask them 1-1 or to a group.
- · Useful when you want to learn from experts
- · Results depend on interview skill and quality of participants

# USABILITY STUDIES

- Method: ask participants to do tasks with a system. Observe what problems they have.
- RQ: "What challenges do users have when they do X?"
- · Great for iterating on designs
- · Depends on availability of suitable users and tasks

# SURVEYS

- · Useful for gathering data from many people
- Not great for depth

# CONTEXTUAL INQUIRY

- Watch someone doing a task
- · Depends on finding an expert

# CORPUS STUDIES

- RQ: "How often does X occur in the wild?"
  - or: "Does X ever occur in the wild?"
- e.g., X = null pointer dereference bugs
- e.g., X = harassment of open-source contributors
- · Requires an X detector (maybe manual analysis) and a corpus

# ANALYSIS

- · Many qualitative studies produce textual data
  - Interview transcripts
  - Bug reports
  - Code snippets
  - Images
- Can we do better than "I read it and it seems to me..."?

## IN PRACTICE

- · Industrial user studies are usually informal.
- · Not trying to produce generalizable results or convince others.
- · But I'm going to hint at a more structured way anyway.

# OPEN-CODETHE DATA

- · Meaning: categorize each element
- Manual process
- · Can parallelize (have multiple coders)
  - Then have to worry about consistency
- Now you have categories!

## THEMATIC ANALYSIS

- (danger: this summary is incomplete)
- · In brief: repeatedly group codes until you have a hierarchy
- Top-level groups are "themes"

# Running Studies

# STUDY DESIGN OVERVIEW

- Running studies requires:
  - If research study: ethics approval (but this is not a research class)
  - Recruiting
  - Training
  - Task design
  - Data collection/analysis

# ETHICS REVIEW

- For research: need to submit proposal to Institutional Review Board (IRB)
- For this class: no need to get IRB approval (IRB only supervises research)

# ETHICS

- What if incentive is too high?
- What if incentive is too low?
  - IRB reviews incentives
- What if recruitment is misleading?
  - IRB reviews recruitment materials

# PARTICIPANT PRE-SCREENING

- · Can issue a pre-test to avoid wasting time on unqualified participants.
- · How will you incentivize people to take the test?

Which of the following might be a valid Java constructor invocation? Do not use any external resources to answer this question. malloc(sizeof(Square)) In Java, encapsulation refers to: Which statements are true of interfaces in standard Java? Square.new(5) Preventing clients from improperly depending on True False square(5) Serializing data correctly so that it is transmitted Interfaces have no field declarations Using the capsule keyword to protect secret dat new Square(5) unless they are public static final. void test() { ArrayList list1 = new ArrayList() Methods in interfaces list1.add(1); are public by default. ArrayList list2 = list1; Methods in interfaces list2.add(2); (except for default methods) lack bodies. System.out.println(list1.size()) A class can If test () is run, what is the output? implement no more than one interface.

2

# DEMOGRAPHICS

- Collect information if you want it!
- Programming experience? Languages?
- If they tell you, you can use it...
- e.g. Gender

# TRAINING

- · How will you prepare your participants?
- · People don't read.
- · People think they understand but in fact do not.
- · Teach...and then assess.
- Or: decide that no training is necessary.

Search docs

#### **Getting Started**

#### ☐ Obsidian Language Tutorial

Ownership - Introduction

Ownership - Transactions

Ownership - Variables

Ownership - Miscellaneous

Assets

States - Introduction

States - Manipulating State

States - Miscellaneous

States and Assets

Using Obsidian on a Blockchain

Taking Advantage of Ownership

**Obsidian Reference** 

Using the compiler

Contributing to Obsidian

# Hiring 4 Python?
while is\_open(job):
 try:
 # Hire easier!
 promote(RTD)
 finally:
 print('HIRED')

Support open source while hiring your next developer with Read the Docs

Sponsored · Ads served ethically

**Obsidian Tutorial** 

- Ownership Introduction
  - Principles of ownership
- Ownership Transactions
  - Transaction return types
  - Transaction parameters
  - Transaction receivers (this)
- Ownership Variables
  - Assignment
  - Fields
  - Local variables
  - Constructors
- Ownership Miscellaneous
  - Ownership checks
  - Getting rid of ownership
  - Invoking transactions
  - Handling Errors
  - Return
- Assets
- States Introduction
  - States and Ownership
- States Manipulating State
  - The -> Operator
  - Alternative field initialization
  - Optional compiler checks
  - Testing states with in
- States Miscellaneous
  - Unowned references
  - Shared references
  - Implicit casts
- States and Assets
- Using Obsidian on a Blockchain
  - Concurrency

Write a contract called Person that has an Owned reference to a House and a Shared reference to a Park. The House and Park contracts are given below.

```
contract House {
}
contract Park {
}
```

Please write your answer in the VSCode window (code1.obs). You may compile your code to check your answer.

```
contract Money {
 contract Wallet {
     Money@Owned m;
     Wallet@Owned() {
        m = new Money();
     transaction spendMoney() returns Money@Owned {
     transaction receiveMoney(Money@Owned >> Unowned mon) {
 What is m in the above code fragment above?
A Money object
An Owned reference to a Money object
An Owned object
All of the above
```

None of the above

# RECRUITMENT

- Flyers
- Emails
- Social network
- Buy ads
- The street

See: Report from Dagstuhl Seminar 19231 Empirical Evaluation of Secure Development Processes

# INCENTIVES

- \$\$\$ (in person, MTurk)
- Desire to contribute to science
   / help you out
- Food
- Fame (leaderboard)

- Rare experience
- Learning opportunity
- Distraction from work
- Credit

# THINK-ALOUD USABILITY STUDIES

- · Give people tasks and observe what happens.
- NOT experiments
- NOT comparative
- · Just want to see what problems people encounter.
- Follow "think-aloud" protocol

# USABILITY STUDIES CAN SHOW

- · Participants encountered the following problems...
- Participants were confused by...
- · Only participants who knew X were able to do the task.

# USABILITY STUDIES CANNOT SHOW

· My system is better than an existing system.

# USABILITY STUDY TASKS

- · Choose an interesting task
  - · One that you think might be hard
  - · One that is central to the usability of your design
- · Can't test everything

### WHY TASKS?

- · Opinions are often not convincing
- · Hypothetical questions are especially unconvincing
- · Need to see what actually happens when users do realistic tasks

## TASKS

- · This is the hardest part of study design.
- · You will not get this right the first time.
- · Solution: pilot repeatedly.
- What is the distribution over task times?

## TASK IDEAS

- · Write a program according to this specification.
- · Are there bugs in this code? If so, what are they?
- Fill in the missing code...
- What does this code do?
- Answer these questions about this code.

# TASK DESIGN

- Must carefully restrict tasks!
- · People will get stuck on irrelevant things
- · Decide how much help to provide
- · Ideally: scope task to focus on the variable of interest
- · Constrain the task as much as possible.

# DATA COLLECTION

- · Think-aloud
- Audio recordings
- Videos
- Screen capture
- Eye tracking
- Post-study survey

- Take lots of notes!, including timestamps! You do not want to watch the videos.
- •Include a clock on the screen.

## THINK-ALOUD

- Two varieties: concurrent and retrospective
- "Please keep talking."
- Can't use timing as a dependent variable due to effect of explanations.

# TASK CONTEXTS

- Pencil/paper
- Text editor
- IDE

- Compiler?
- Debugger?
- Test cases?

## YOURTURN

- You are interested in studying challenges that users have when using the Gmail web app.
- Design tasks that you will give your participants in a 30-minute study.

# CONCLUSION

- Running usability studies requires:
  - Recruiting
  - Training
  - Task design
  - Data collection/analysis
- · Task design is probably the trickiest. Start early and pilot!